

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP
B. Trent Webb, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
Peter Strand Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
Ryan D. Dykal Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
2555 Grand Boulevard
Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613
Telephone: (816) 474-6550
Facsimile: (816) 421-5547
bwebb@shb.com

Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (*pro hac vice*)
600 Travis Street, Suite 3400
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 227-8008
Facsimile: (731) 227-9508
rreckers@shb.com

GREENBERG TRAURIG
Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086)
Brandon Roos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 7888)
Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229)
3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
Suite 400 North
Las Vegas, NV 89169
Telephone: (702) 792-3773
Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
tratosm@gtlaw.com
roosb@gtlaw.com
godfreyl@gtlaw.com

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
W. West Allen (Nevada Bar No. 5566)
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Tel: (702) 949-8200
Fax: (702) 949-8398
WAllen@LRRLaw.com

GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
Lauren Blas
Blaine H. Evanson
333 S. Grand Ave., 47th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: 213-229-7000
Facsimile: 213-229-7228
bevanson@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
Joseph A. Gorman
555 Mission Street
San Francisco, California 94105
Telephone: 415-393-8296
jgorman@gibsondunn.com

GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
Mark A. Perry
1050 Connecticut Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036-5306

RIMINI STREET, INC.
Daniel B. Winslow
6601 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 300
Pleasanton, California 94566
Telephone: 925-264-7736
DWinslow@riministreet.com

*Attorneys for Defendants
Rimini Street, Inc., and Seth Ravin*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC. , a Nevada corporation;
SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**RIMINI STREET AND RAVIN’S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF
THEIR OPPOSITION TO
ORACLE’S MOTIONS *IN LIMINE*
NOS. 1-10 AND ACCOMPANYING
EXHIBITS A-B**

Pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order governing confidentiality of documents entered by the Court on May 21, 2010 (*See* Dkt. 55, “Protective Order”), Local Rule 10-5(b) and Rules 5.2 and 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin (“Rimini”) respectfully request that the Court grant leave to file under seal portions of their Opposition to Oracle’s Motions *in Limine* Nos. 1-10 (“MIL Opposition”) and accompanying Exhibits A and B. A public, redacted version of the MIL Opposition was filed on August 14, 2015. Additionally, also on August 14, 2015, the unredacted version of the MIL Opposition was filed under seal.

The Protective Order provides that: “Counsel for any Designating Party may designate any Discovery Material as “Confidential Information” and as “Highly Confidential Information-Attorneys’ Eyes Only” under the terms of the Protective Order only if such counsel in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). The designation by any Designating Party of any Discovery Material as “Confidential Information” or “Highly Confidential Information- Attorneys’ Eyes Only” shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation”. Protective Order at Paragraph 2.

The Court has “broad latitude” under Rule 26(c) “to prevent disclosure of materials for many types of information, including, but not limited to, trade secrets or other confidential research,

1 development, or commercial information.” *Phillips v. Gen. Motors Corp.*, 307 F.3d 1206, 1211 (9th
2 Cir. 2002) (citations omitted).

3 Sealing portions of the MIL Opposition is requested because it cites Exhibits which have
4 already been filed Under Seal in this action. These Exhibits, and the information referenced in
5 Rimini’s MIL Opposition, include portions of the deposition testimony of Richard Cummins, which
6 include information marked Highly-Confidential Information—Attorneys’ Eyes Only by Oracle. If
7 disclosed, this information, which includes Oracle customer revenue data and financial data, could
8 competitively harm Oracle. The Protective Order provides that: “Counsel for any Designating Party
9 may designate any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential
10 Information – Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ under the terms of this Protective Order **only if such counsel**
11 **in good faith believes that such Discovery Material contains such information and is subject to**
12 **protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).** The designation by any Designating
13 Party of any Discovery Material as ‘Confidential Information’ or ‘Highly Confidential Information –
14 Attorneys’ Eyes Only’ shall constitute a representation that an attorney for the Designating Party
15 reasonably believes there is a valid basis for such designation.” Protective Order ¶ 2 (emphasis
16 supplied).

17 A description of the Exhibits to be filed under seal referenced in this Opposition is included
18 below:

- 19 1. Exhibit A consists of excerpts of the deposition testimony (in the present case) of
20 Richard Cummins.
- 21 2. Exhibit B consists of excerpts of the deposition testimony (in the Oracle v. SAP
22 case) of Richard Cummins.

23 Rimini has submitted all other portions of the MIL Opposition for filing in the Court’s public
24 files, which would allow public access to the filings except for the documents designated as
25 Confidential or Highly Confidential due to the descriptions of Exhibits previously filed Under Seal.
26 Accordingly, the request to seal is narrowly tailored.

27 For the foregoing reasons, Rimini respectfully requests that the Court grant leave to file
28 portions of its MIL Opposition and accompanying Exhibits A and B under seal.

1 DATED: August 14, 2015

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON

2
3 By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers
Robert H. Reckers, Esq.

4
5 *Attorneys for Defendants*
Rimni Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin

6
7
8 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

9 I hereby certify that on the 14th day of August 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing
10 document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, using the
11 electronic case filing system. The electronic case filing system sent a "Notice of Electronic Filing" to
12 the attorneys of record who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this
13 document by electronic means.

14
15 By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers
Robert H. Reckers